# PART 2 Cellular Coverage Concepts 

## Lecture 2.1 why cells

## Coverage for a terrestrial zone



## Cellular coverage

target: cover the same area with a larger number of BSs


19 Base Station
12 frequencies
4 frequencies/cell
I
Worst case:
4 calls (all users in same cell)
Best case:
76 calls (4 users per cell)
Average case >> 12
Low transmit power

## Key advantages:

-Increased capacity (freq. reuse) -Decreased tx power


## Cellular system architecture

$\rightarrow 1$ BS per cell
$\Rightarrow$ Cell: Portion of territory covered by one radio station
$\Rightarrow$ One or more carriers (frequencies; channels) per cell

Mobile users fullduplex connected with BS

1 MSC controls many
BSs
$\rightarrow$ MSC connected to PSTN


## Cellular capacity

$\rightarrow$ Increased via frequency reuse
$\Rightarrow$ Frequency reuse depends on interference
$\Rightarrow$ need to sufficiently separate cells
$\rightarrow$ reuse pattern $=$ cluster size $(7 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3)$ : discussed later
$\rightarrow$ Cellular system capacity: depends on
$\Rightarrow$ overall number of frequencies
$\rightarrow$ Larger spectrum occupation
$\Rightarrow$ frequency reuse pattern
$\Rightarrow$ Cell size
$\rightarrow$ Smaller cell (cell $\rightarrow$ microcell $\rightarrow$ picocell) $=$ greater capacity
$\rightarrow$ Smaller cell = lower transmission power
$\rightarrow$ Smaller cell $=$ increased handover management burden
$\qquad$


# PART 2 <br> Cellular Coverage Concepts 

## Lecture 2.2 <br> Clusters and CCI

## Reuse patterns

$\rightarrow$ Reuse distance:
$\Rightarrow$ Key concept
$\Rightarrow$ In the real world depends on
$\rightarrow$ Territorial patterns (hills, etc)
$\rightarrow$ Transmitted power
» and other propagation issues such as antenna directivity, height of transmission antenna, etc
$\rightarrow$ Simplified hexagonal cells model:
$\Rightarrow$ reuse distance depends on reuse pattern (cluster size)
$\Rightarrow$ Possible clusters:
$\rightarrow 3,4,7,9,12,13,16,19, \ldots$
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## Reuse distance

$\rightarrow$ General formula $D=R \sqrt{3 K}$
$\rightarrow$ Valid for hexagonal geometry
$\rightarrow D=$ reuse distance
$\rightarrow R=$ cell radius
$\rightarrow q=D / R=$ frequency reuse factor

| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{D} / \mathbf{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3,00 |
| 4 | 3,46 |
| 7 | 4,58 |
| 9 | 5,20 |
| 12 | 6,00 |
| 13 | 6,24 |

$\qquad$

## Proof


$\rightarrow$ Distance between two cell centers:
$\Rightarrow\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \leftrightarrow \rightarrow\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$
$D=\sqrt{\left[\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \cos 30^{\circ}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)+\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right) \sin 30^{\circ}\right]^{2}}$
$\Rightarrow$ Simplifies to:
$D=\sqrt{\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{2}-u_{1}\right)\left(v_{2}-v_{1}\right)}$
$\Rightarrow$ Distance of cell (i,j) from $(0,0)$ :

$$
D=\sqrt{i^{2}+j^{2}+i j} \sqrt{3} R
$$

$$
D_{R}=\sqrt{i^{2}+j^{2}+i j}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Cluster: easy to see that

$$
K=D_{R}^{2}=i^{2}+j^{2}+i j
$$

$\Rightarrow$ hence: $D=R \sqrt{3 K}$


## Possible clusters

## all integer $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}$ values

| $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{i i}+\mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{i j}$ | $\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{D} / \mathbf{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,73 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 3,00 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 3,46 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 | 4,58 |
| 2 | 2 | 12 | 6,00 |
| 3 | 0 | 9 | 5,20 |
| 3 | 1 | 13 | 6,24 |
| 3 | 2 | 19 | 7,55 |
| 3 | 3 | 27 | 9,00 |
| 4 | 0 | 16 | 6,93 |
| 4 | 1 | 21 | 7,94 |
| 4 | 2 | 28 | 9,17 |
| 4 | 3 | 37 | 10,54 |
| 4 | 4 | 48 | 12,00 |
| 5 | 0 | 25 | 8,66 |
| 5 | 1 | 31 | 9,64 |



## CCI Computation assumptions

## $\rightarrow$ Assumptions

$\Rightarrow N_{1}=6$ interfering cells
$\rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}=6$ : first ring interferers only
$\rightarrow$ we neglect second-ring interferers
$\Rightarrow$ Negligible Noise $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}$ $\rightarrow$ S/N ~ S/I
$\Rightarrow d^{-\eta}$ propagation law
$\rightarrow \eta=4$ (in general)
$\Rightarrow$ Same parameters for all BSs
$\rightarrow$ Same $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tx}}$, antenna gains, etc

[^0]$\qquad$
$\rightarrow$ Key simplification
$\Rightarrow$ Signal for MS at distance $R$
$\Rightarrow$ Signal from BS interferers at distance D

## CCI computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{S}{N} \approx \frac{S}{I}=\frac{\operatorname{cost} \cdot R^{-\eta}}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{I}} \operatorname{cost} \cdot D^{-\eta}}=\begin{array}{c}
\text { By using the assumptions of } \\
\text { same cost and same } D:
\end{array} \\
& =\frac{1}{N_{I}}\left(\frac{R}{D}\right)^{-\eta}=\frac{1}{N_{I}}\left(\frac{D}{R}\right)^{\eta}=\frac{1}{N_{I}} q^{\eta} \begin{array}{c}
\text { Results depend } \\
\text { on ratio } q=D / R \\
\text { (q-frequency reuse factor) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternative expression: recalling that $D=R \sqrt{3 K}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{S}{N} \approx \frac{S}{I}=\frac{1}{N_{I}}\left(\frac{R}{R \sqrt{3 K}}\right)^{-\eta}=\frac{1}{N_{I}}(3 K)^{\eta / 2}=\frac{(3 K)^{\eta / 2}}{6} \\
& N_{I}=6, \mu=4 \rightarrow \frac{S}{I}=\frac{(3 K)^{2}}{6}=\frac{3}{2} K^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$

## Examples

| $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \text { target conditions: } \\ & \quad \Rightarrow S / /=9 \mathrm{~dB} \\ & \quad \Rightarrow \eta=4 \end{aligned}$ | ```->target conditions: AS=18dB => \eta=4.2``` |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow$ Solution: | $\rightarrow$ Solution: |
| $\frac{S}{I}=10^{0.9}=7.94 \approx 8$ | $\frac{S}{I}[d B]=5 \eta \log (3 K)-10 \log 6$ |
| $\frac{S}{I}=\frac{(3 K)^{\eta / 2}}{6} \left\lvert\, \Rightarrow K=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{S}{I}}\right.$ | $\log (3 K)=\frac{18+7.78}{21}=1.23$ |
| $K \geq 2.3 \Rightarrow K=3$ | $K \geq \frac{10^{1.23}}{3}=5.63 \Rightarrow K=7$ |



## sectorization

$\rightarrow$ Directional antennas
$\rightarrow$ Cell divided into sectors
$\rightarrow$ Each sector uses different frequencies
$\Rightarrow$ To avoid interference at sector borders
$\rightarrow$ PROS:
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{CCI}$ reduction
$\rightarrow$ CONS:
$\Rightarrow$ Increased handover rate


CELL a
$\Rightarrow$ Less effective "trunking" leads to performnce impairments
$\qquad$

## CCI reduction via sectorization three sectors case

$\rightarrow$ I nferference from 2 cells, only
$\Rightarrow$ Instead of 6 cells
With usual approxs
(specifically, $\mathrm{D}_{\text {int }} \sim \mathrm{D}$ )
$\left[\frac{S}{I}\right]_{120^{\circ}}=\frac{R^{-\eta}}{2 D^{-\eta}}=3 \cdot\left[\frac{S}{I}\right]_{o m n i}$
$\left[\frac{S}{I}\right]_{120^{\circ}} d B=\left[\frac{S}{I}\right]_{\text {omni }} d B+4.77$

## Conclusion: 3 sectors $=4.77 \mathrm{~dB}$ improvement

= Giuseppe Bianchi


## Traffic generated by one user (statistical notion of traffic)




## example

## $\rightarrow 5$ users

$\rightarrow$ Each user makes an average of 3 calls per hour
$\rightarrow$ Each call, in average, lasts for 4 minutes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad A_{i}=3\left[\frac{\text { calls }}{\text { hour }}\right] \times \frac{4}{60}[\text { hours }]=\frac{1}{5}[\text { erl }] \\
& \qquad A=5 \times \frac{1}{5}[\text { erl }]=1[\text { erl }] \\
& \text { Meaning: in average, there is } 1 \text { active call; } \\
& \text { but the actual number of active calls varies } \\
& \text { from 0 (no active user) to 5 (all users active), } \\
& \text { number of active users }
\end{aligned}
$$ with given probability
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## Second example

$\rightarrow 30$ users
$\rightarrow$ Each user makes an average of 1 calls per hour
$\rightarrow$ Each call, in average, lasts for 4 minutes
$A=30 \times\left(1 \cdot \frac{4}{60}\right)=2$ Erlangs
SOME NOTES:
-In average, 2 active calls (intensity A);
-Frequently, we find up to 4 or 5 calls;
-Prob(n.calls>8) = 0.01\%
-More than 11 calls only once over 1M
TRAFFIC ENGINEERING: how many channels to reserve for these users!

| n. active users | binom | probab | cumulat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1,3E-01 | 0,126213 |
| 1 | 30 | 2,7E-01 | 0,396669 |
| 2 | 435 | 2,8E-01 | 0,676784 |
| 3 | 4060 | 1,9E-01 | 0,863527 |
| 4 | 27405 | 9,0E-02 | 0,953564 |
| 5 | 142506 | 3,3E-02 | 0,987006 |
| 6 | 593775 | 1,0E-02 | 0,996960 |
| 7 | 2035800 | 2,4E-03 | 0,999397 |
| 8 | 5852925 | 5,0E-04 | 0,999898 |
| 9 | 14307150 | 8,7E-05 | 0,999985 |
| 10 | 30045015 | 1,3E-05 | 0,999998 |
| 11 | 54627300 | 1,7E-06 | 1,000000 |
| 12 | 86493225 | 1,9E-07 | 1,000000 |
| 13 | 119759850 | 1,9E-08 | 1,000000 |
| 14 | 145422675 | 1,7E-09 | 1,000000 |
| 15 | 155117520 | 1,3E-10 | 1,000000 |
| 16 | 145422675 | 8,4E-12 | 1,000000 |
| 17 | 119759850 | 5,0E-13 | 1,000000 |
| 18 | 86493225 | 2,6E-14 | 1,000000 |
| 19 | 54627300 | 1,2E-15 | 1,000000 |
| 20 | 30045015 | 4,5E-17 | 1,000000 |
| 21 | 14307150 | 1,5E-18 | 1,000000 |
| 22 | 5852925 | 4,5E-20 | 1,000000 |
| 23 | 2035800 | 1,1E-21 | 1,000000 |
| 24 | 593775 | 2,3E-23 | 1,000000 |
| 25 | 142506 | 4,0E-25 | 1,000000 |
| 26 | 27405 | 5,5E-27 | 1,000000 |
| 27 | 4060 | 5,8E-29 | 1,000000 |
| 28 | 435 | 4,4E-31 | 1,000000 |
| 29 | 30 | 2,2E-33 | 1,000000 |
| 30 | 1 | 5,2E-36 | 1,000000 |

## A note on binomial coefficient computation

$\binom{60}{12}=\frac{60!}{12!48!}=1.39936 e+12$
but $60!=8.32099 e+81$ (overflow problems!!)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{60}{12} & =\exp \left(\log \binom{60}{12}\right)=\exp (\log (60!)-\log (12!)-\log (48!))= \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{60} \log (i)-\sum_{i=1}^{12} \log (i)-\sum_{i=1}^{48} \log (i)\right) \quad \text { (no overflow! ! before exp...) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\binom{60}{12} A_{i}^{12}\left(1-A_{i}\right)^{48}=$
$=\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{60} \log (i)-\sum_{i=1}^{12} \log (i)-\sum_{i=1}^{48} \log (i)+12 \log \left(A_{i}\right)+48 \log \left(1-A_{i}\right)\right)$
(no overflow! ! never! )
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## Infinite Users

Assume $M$ users, generating an overall traffic intensity $A$
(i.e. each user generates traffic at intensity $A_{i}=A / M$ ).

We have just found that
$P[\mathrm{k}$ active calls, M users $]=\binom{M}{k} A_{i}^{k}\left(1-A_{i}\right)^{M-k}=\frac{M!}{(M-k)!k!}\left(\frac{A}{M}\right)^{k} \frac{\left(1-\frac{A}{M}\right)^{M}}{\left(1-\frac{A}{M}\right)^{k}}$
Let $M \rightarrow$ infinity, while maintaining the same overall traffic intensity A
$P[\mathrm{k}$ active calls, $\infty$ users $]=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M!}{(M-k)!} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \cdot \frac{A^{k}}{M^{k}} \cdot\left(1-\frac{A}{M}\right)^{M} \cdot\left(1-\frac{A}{M}\right)^{-k}=$ $=\frac{A^{k}}{k!} \cdot \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(M-1) \cdots(M-k+1)}{M^{k}} \cdot\left[\left(1-\frac{A}{M}\right)^{-\frac{M}{A}}\right]^{-A} \cdot\left(1-\frac{A}{M}\right)^{-k}=e^{-A} \frac{A^{k}}{k!}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { POisSOn Distribution } \\
30 \% \\
25 \% \\
20 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

## Limited number of channels

THE most important problem in circuit switching
$\rightarrow$ The number of channels $C$ is less than the number of users $M$ (eventually infinite)
$\rightarrow$ Some offered calls will be "blocked"
$\rightarrow$ What is the blocking probability?
$\Rightarrow$ We have an expression for P[k offered calls]
$\Rightarrow$ We must find an expression for
P[k accepted calls]
$\Rightarrow$ As:
$P[$ block $]=P$ [C accepted calls $]$


## Channel utilization probability



## Blocking probability: Erlang-B

$\rightarrow$ Fundamental formula for telephone networks planning
$\Rightarrow A_{0}=$ offered traffic in Erlangs
Efficient recursive computation available
$E_{1, C}\left(A_{o}\right)=\frac{A_{o} E_{1, C-1}\left(A_{o}\right)}{C+A_{o} E_{1, C-1}\left(A_{o}\right)}$



## NOTE: finite users

```
-> Erlang-B obtained for the
infinite users case
OIt is easy (from queueing
        theory) to obtain an
        explicit blocking formula
        for the finite users case:
\ ENGSET FORMULA:
```

$\Pi_{\text {block }}=\frac{A_{i}^{C}\binom{M-1}{C}}{\sum_{k=0}^{C} A_{i}^{k}\binom{M-1}{i}}$
$A_{i}=\frac{A_{o}}{M}$
Giuseppe Bianchi

## Capacity planning

$\rightarrow$ Target: support users with a given Grade Of Service (GOS)
$\Rightarrow$ GOS expressed in terms of upper-bound for the blocking probability
$\rightarrow$ GOS example: subscribers should find a line available in the $99 \%$ of the cases, i.e. they should be blocked in no more than $1 \%$ of the attempts
$\rightarrow$ Given:
$\rightarrow$ C channels
$\rightarrow$ Offered load $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{o}}$
$\rightarrow$ Target GOS B target
$\Rightarrow C$ obtained from numerical inversion of

$$
B_{\text {target }}=E_{1, C}\left(A_{o}\right)
$$

- Giuseppe Bianchi


## Channel usage efficiency

Offered load (erl)
Carried load (erl)
 $A_{c}=A_{o}(1-B)$


Blocked traffic

$$
\text { efficiency: } \quad \eta=\frac{A_{c}}{C}=\frac{A_{o}\left(1-E_{1, C}\left(A_{o}\right)\right)}{C} \quad \approx \frac{A_{o}}{C} \text { if small blocking }
$$

Fundamental property: for same GOS, efficiency increases as $C$ grows!!
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Erlang B calculation - tables

Example: How many channels are required to support 100 users with a GOS of $2 \%$ if the average traffic per user is 30 mE ?
$100 \times 30 \mathrm{mE}=3$ Erlangs 3 Erlangs @ $2 \%$ GOS =

8 channels

| Trunks | 0.01 | 0.015 | $(0.02)$ | 0.03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{B})=$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.010 | 0.015 | 0.020 | 0.031 |
| 2 | 0.153 | 0.190 | 0.223 | 0.282 |
| 3 | 0.455 | 0.536 | 0.603 | 0.715 |
| 4 | 0.870 | 0.992 | 1.092 | 1.259 |
| 5 | 1.361 | 1.524 | 1.657 | 1.877 |
| 6 | 1.913 | 2.114 | 2.277 | 2.544 |
| 7 | 2.503 | 2.743 | 2.936 | 3.250 |
| 8 | 3.129 | 3.405 | 3.627 | 3.987 |
| 9 | 3.783 | 4.095 | 4.345 | 4.748 |
| 10 | 4.462 | 4.808 | 5.084 | 5.529 |

## Erlang B calculation - software

$\rightarrow$ Erlang-B formula very easy to implement
$\Rightarrow$ Even if some tricks needed for numerical accuracy
$\rightarrow$ Erlang-B inversion not so easy
$\Rightarrow$ Software tools
$\rightarrow$ Online calculator:
$\Rightarrow \underline{\text { http://mmc.et.tudelft.nl/-frits/Erlang.htm }}$
$\Rightarrow$ Given two parameter, calculates the third
$\rightarrow \mathrm{N}=$ number of circuits
$\rightarrow \mathrm{B}=$ blocking probability
$\rightarrow \mathrm{A}=$ offered load

## Application to cellular networks <br> Cell size (radius $R$ ) may be determined on the basis of traffic considerations

$\rightarrow$ First step:
$\Rightarrow$ Given num channels and GOS
$\rightarrow \mathrm{C}=50$ available channels in a cell
$\rightarrow$ Blocking probability $<=2 \%$
$\Rightarrow$ Evaluate maximum cell (offered) load
$\rightarrow$ From Erlang-B inversion(tables) $\mathrm{A}=40.25 \mathrm{erl}$

## $\rightarrow$ Third step:

$\Rightarrow$ Given density of users $\rightarrow \delta=500$ users $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ Evaluate cell radius

$$
\delta=\frac{M}{\pi R^{2}} \Rightarrow R=\sqrt{\frac{M}{\pi \delta}}
$$

$\Rightarrow \mathrm{R} \sim 438 \mathrm{~m}$

## $\rightarrow$ Second step

$\Rightarrow$ Given traffic generated by each user
$\rightarrow$ Each user: 4 calls/busy-hour
$\rightarrow$ Each call: 2 min in average
$\rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}=4 \times 2 / 60=0.1333 \mathrm{erl} / \mathrm{user}$
$\Rightarrow$ Evaluate max num of users in cell

$$
\rightarrow \mathrm{M}=301.87 \sim 302
$$

## Other example

$\rightarrow$ Three service providers are planning to provide cellular service for an urban area. The target GOS is $2 \%$ blocking. Users make 3 calls/busy-hour, each lasting 3 minutes in average ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}=3 / 20=0.15$ )
$\Rightarrow$ Question: how many users can support each provider?
$\rightarrow$ Provider A configuration: 20 cells, each with 40 channels
$\rightarrow$ Provider B configuration: 30 cells, each with 30 channels
$\rightarrow$ Provider C configuration: 40 cells, each with 20 channels
$\rightarrow$ Provider A: $\quad \rightarrow$ Provider B: $\quad \rightarrow$ Provider C:
$\Rightarrow 40$ channels/cell
$\Rightarrow$ at $2 \%$ : $\mathrm{A}_{0}=30.99 \mathrm{er} / \mathrm{cell}$
$\Rightarrow 619.8$ erl-total
$\Rightarrow M=4132$ overall users
$\Rightarrow 30$ channels/cell $\quad \Rightarrow 20$ channels/cell
$\Rightarrow$ at $2 \%: A_{0}=21.93$ erl/cell $\quad \Rightarrow$ at $2 \%: A_{0}=13.18$ erl/cell
$\Rightarrow 654.9$ erl-total $\quad \Rightarrow 527.2$ erl-total
$\Rightarrow M=4386$ overall users $\quad \Rightarrow M=3515$ overall users

Compare case A with C! The reason is the lower efficiency of 20 channels versus 40

## Sectorization and traffic

$\rightarrow$ Assume cluster $K=7$
$\rightarrow$ Omnidirectional antennas: $\quad \mathrm{CCI}=18.7 \mathrm{~dB}$
$\rightarrow 120^{\circ}$ sectors: $\quad \mathrm{CCI}=23.4 \mathrm{~dB}$
$\rightarrow \mathbf{6 0}^{\circ}$ sectors:
$\mathrm{CCI}=26.4 \mathrm{~dB}$
$\rightarrow$ Sectorization yields to better CCI
$\rightarrow$ BUT: the price to pay is a much lower trunking efficiency!
$\rightarrow$ With 60 channels/ cell, GOS = 1\% ,

| $\Rightarrow$ Omni: | 60 channels | $A_{0}=1 \times 46.95=46.95$ erl | $\eta=77.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Rightarrow 120^{\circ}:$ | $60 / 3=20$ channels | $A_{0}=3 \times 12.03=36.09 \mathrm{erl}$ | $\eta=59.5 \%$ |
| $\Rightarrow 60^{\circ}:$ | $60 / 6=10$ channels | $A_{0}=6 \times 4.46=26.76 \mathrm{erl}$ | $\eta=44.1 \%$ |
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## conclusion

$\rightarrow$ This module has given some hints regarding:
$\Rightarrow$ Cell sizing via propagation considerations
$\Rightarrow$ Frequency reuse via propagation considerations
$\Rightarrow$ Cell planning via teletraffic consideration
$\rightarrow$ Very elementary models
$\Rightarrow$ But sufficient to understand what's inside planning
$\rightarrow$ No mobility!
$\Rightarrow$ Teletraffic models need to be extended to manage handover rates!
$\Rightarrow$ Blocking requirement for an handover call MUST be much lower than blocking for a new incoming call
$\rightarrow$ severe math complications
$\rightarrow$ Guard channels for handover
$\rightarrow$ Out of the scopes of this class!
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